## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

NO. 24-CR-10259-DJC

v.

MATTHEW FARWELL, Defendant

## ORDER ON EXCLUDABLE DELAY

KELLEY, U.S.M.J.

Defendant had an interim status conference scheduled for May 6, 2025. The parties filed an interim status report detailing their progress in preparing the case. (#38.) Discovery is voluminous and the parties are making good progress. The case is continued for a further interim status conference to July 1, 2025, at 10:15 a.m. by zoom.

With the assent of the parties, the Court finds that the interests of justice in this case, *i.e.*, to allow the parties time to prepare the case for trial or other disposition, outweigh the best interests of the public and defendant for a trial within seventy days of the date of indictment. Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED that, pursuant to the provisions of 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), the Clerk of this Court enter excludable time for the period from May 6, 2025, up to and including July 1, 2025.

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The parties are hereby advised that under the provisions of Rule 2(b) of the Rules for United States Magistrates in the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts, any party may move for reconsideration by a district judge of the determination(s) and order(s) set forth herein within fourteen (14) days after receipt of a copy of this order, unless a different time is prescribed by this court or the district judge. The party seeking reconsideration shall file with the Clerk of this Court, and serve upon all parties, a written notice of the motion which shall specifically designate the order or part thereof to be reconsidered and the basis for the objection thereto. The district judge, upon timely motion, shall reconsider the magistrate judge's order and set aside any portion thereof found to be clearly erroneous in fact or contrary to law. The parties are further advised that the United States Court of Appeals for this Circuit has indicated that failure to

/s/ M. Page Kelley
M. PAGE KELLEY
United States Magistrate Judge

comply with this rule shall preclude further appellate review. <u>See Phinney v. Wentworth Douglas Hospital</u>, 199 F.3d 1 (1<sup>st</sup> Cir. 1999); <u>Sunview Condo. Ass'n v. Flexel Int'l</u>, 116 F.3d 962 (1<sup>st</sup> Cir. 1997); <u>Pagano v. Frank</u>, 983 F.2d 343 (1<sup>st</sup> Cir. 1993).